# YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK REPORT TO THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE STATUS OF KEY ISSUES JANUARY 2006

## INTRODUCTION

The World Heritage Committee named Yellowstone National Park as a World Heritage Site in Danger on December 5, 1995. In their report, the committee cited specific threats and dangers that were already affecting, were beginning to affect, or had potential to seriously derogate the outstanding universal value for which Yellowstone was established as the nation's first national park, and one of the first World Heritage Sites. In February 2003, the Committee congratulated the park for "the considerable efforts" that went into "the progress made in addressing all the key issues that led to Danger Listing of the site..." and considers "...the reasons for retaining the site on this List no longer exist" and as a consequence, Yellowstone National Park was removed.

However, the WHC invited Yellowstone to 1) continue its commitment to address the original issues; 2) to provide the WHC recovery plans regarding those issues; 3) continue to provide progress reports to WHC on the original threats, and to specifically include any public involvement in these issues.

In keeping with the Committees request, this document is the third progress report following removal from the list, and includes plans and actions currently planned or underway, that specifically seek to redress the 1995 threats and dangers.

See: <a href="http://www.nps.gov/yell/index.htm">http://www.nps.gov/yell/index.htm</a> and <a href="http://www.nps.gov/yell/publications/worldheritage/">http://www.nps.gov/yell/publications/worldheritage/</a>.

In all resource cases described below, the park is guided first by the relevant statutory laws of the United States emphasizing parks such as the Yellowstone Organic Act (16 USC 21-22), NPS Organic Act (16 USC 1 et seq), General Authorities Act (16 USC 1a-1), National Parks and Recreation Act (16 USC 1a-7), the "Redwood Act" (16 USC 1a-1), and the National Parks Omnibus Management Act (16 USC 5901 et seq). In addition, other national statutes in part dwell on parks such as the Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq), Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 USC 1251 et seq), Wilderness Act (16 USC 1131 et seq), National Environmental Policy Act (42 USC 4332 et seq), Endangered Species Act (7 USC 136 as amended), Geothermal Steam Act (30 USC 1001 et seq), Antiquities Act (16 USC 431 et seq), Historic Sites Act (49 Stat. 666),

National Historic Preservation Act (80 Stat. 915 as amended), Archeological and Historic Preservation Act (88 Stat. 174), are examples among many others.

Any of these statutes can be retrieved from: <a href="http://data2.itc.nps.gov/npspolicy/index.cfm">http://data2.itc.nps.gov/npspolicy/index.cfm</a>

In many instances, Presidential Executive Orders and "Rules," or Regulatory Law, are more specific and focused than statutes and serve as detailed operating principles for the national parks.

For Executive Orders see:

www.archives.gov/federal\_register/executive\_orders/executive\_orders.htm

For the Code of Federal Regulations see: <a href="http://data2.itc.nps.gov/npspolicy/index.cfm">http://data2.itc.nps.gov/npspolicy/index.cfm</a> www.gpoaccess.gov/cfr/index.html

Finally, relevant governance for National Park Service activities that are the most detailed are policies and Director's Orders that are available and can be readily located at:

http://data2.itc.nps.gov/npspolicy/index.cfm

# **Progress on 1995 Threats**

#### MINING ACTIVITIES

Threat in 1995: The New World Mine was a major Crown Butte Mines, Inc. proposal to reopen an older mining area on patented and US Forest Service lands to new gold and silver harvest. The site was adjacent to the Absaroka-Beartooth Wilderness area in the Gallatin National Forest, and downstream Yellowstone National Park which was perceived to be a major threat to the resources of the National Forest Wilderness and Yellowstone National Park.

**Outcome:** The US government and Crown Butte Mines, Inc. signed an agreement in 1996 to refrain from mining these lands, and the Congress appropriated \$65 million for the acquisition of lands and interests, including cleanup of toxic overburden and tailings left over from a century of previous mining activity.

**Status:** The new mining proposal was shelved and most of the property was transferred to public domain. Cleanup of toxic materials from past mining started in 2000 and is expected to take 7 years, and post-project maintenance will be funded in perpetuity. In 2005, significant progress was made on the McLaren Mill and tailings and the Republic Smelter sites. The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) and U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency (USEPA) joined forces to completely clean up the Republic site, and the USFS reclaimed the portion of the McLaren site that is situated on public property. The Montana State Department of Environmental Quality (MTDEQ) has identified a potential depository site for the McLaren mine tailings and funding was secured by the USNPS to drill three groundwater monitoring wells to obtain groundwater information to determine whether the site is suitable as a tailings repository. With these welcome measures underway, we should see continued improvement of water quality in the years to come.

Constituency groups, media outlets, and members of the general public have worked with the agency partners on informing citizens and resolving these clean-up issues.

#### Plans/Actions:

http://www.nps.gov/yell/stateofthepark.htm http://www.fs.fed.us/r1/gallatin

#### THREATS TO BISON

**Threat in 1995:** Yellowstone bison, some of which are infected with *Brucella abortus*, the agent that causes the disease Brucellosis, occasionally roam outside park boundaries. These bison may potentially transmit *Brucella* to livestock grazing outside the park which could jeopardize the "Brucellosis Free" status of states bordering Yellowstone. As such, the states view the presence of *Brucella* in park wildlife as a significant economic threat to the livestock industry. Animals migrate out of the park annually and some are destroyed, especially when bison population numbers are high and the winters are severe.

**Outcome:** In 2000, Yellowstone National Park, State of Montana, US Forest Service, USDA Plant and Animal Health Inspection Service cosigned a joint bison management plan that agreed to conserve bison populations yet manage the risk of transmission from bison to cattle within the State of Montana. This is a long-term plan that should manage risks in the short- and medium-term, but set the stage for future discussions and actions about eradication of the disease. It is also an incremental plan that becomes more wildlife-friendly and yet lowers transmission risk to cattle with each incremental success achieved with plan implementation.

**Status:** This carefully crafted consensus-based plan has now been successfully implemented for 5 years. While many people in the conservation community do not support the plan, in the last five years the core Yellowstone bison population has been sustained between 3,000 and 5,000 animals, which are historic high levels for the population. In addition,

the plan addresses each of the major issues regarding the risk of brucellosis transmission from bison to livestock. Highlights include: For the first time ever, non-infected bison captured at the boundary (winter of 2003-2004) were vaccinated against the disease and released back into the park instead of being destroyed. This effort continues to date. An Environmental Impact Study concerning the remote vaccination of interior herds was officially begun in 2004, and continues to be developed. In the winter of 2004-2005, 17 bison calves were removed from the population at the park boundary and placed in research facilities. This work is required prior to devising a protocol that will allow USDA to certify disease-free bison from Yellowstone to be used for starting new populations on other public, tribal, or even private lands, which would ultimately serve to enhance the long-term conservation interests of the species. In the winter of 2005-2006 the State of Montana initiated a "fair-chase" bison hunting season adjacent to the park and authorized 50 permits to be issued to members of the general public (25) and to regional tribes (25). Discussions and research continue to consider additional ways to eventually eliminate brucellosis from wildlife in the Greater Yellowstone Area while maintaining wild and free ranging wildlife herds.

There is no shortage of public involvement with this issue due to the high regional and national profile bison have with the general public and numerous constituency groups.

#### Plans/Actions:

http://www.nps.gov/yell/technical/planning/index.htm http://www.planning.nps.gov/document/yellbisonrod http://www.nps.gov/yell/nature/animals/bison/posters/list.htm

## THREATS TO CUTTHROAT TROUT

Threats in 1995: In 1994, voracious, predatory, non-native lake trout were discovered in Yellowstone Lake threatening the existence of the rare, endemic Yellowstone cutthroat trout, plus 42 other native birds and mammals that more or less depend on them for their own survival. It could also potentially destroy a sport fishery that once had a US\$ 36 million annual value. Largely as a result of this ecological setback, the Yellowstone cutthroat trout has been petitioned for listing under the Federal Threatened and Endangered Species Act.

**Outcome:** Fish experts have concluded that the risk of functional extinction of the native trout was real, substantial, and urgent, but that no technology is known to completely eradicate lake trout from the lake. The best that could be hoped for was long-term suppression of lake trout, through the annual deployment of "industrial-strength gillnetting." This

partial solution was implemented by NPS beginning in 1995, targeting the lake trout thought to have been in the lake and reproducing for about 20 years. A no-limit, no-live-release regulation on lake trout for sport anglers was also put into effect.

Status: Gillnetting fishing-effort has increased each year and has resulted in the destruction of over 130,000 adult and juvenile lake trout. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for lake trout has declined considerably from the high observed in 1998, and has generally continued to decline annually since that time. This suggests the program is systematically (and measurably) reducing the predator population. Night-time electrofishing over lake trout spawning beds has been added as an additional effective removal tool, as has the promotion of fall lake trout fishing by anglers. Yellowstone cutthroat trout populations, continue to struggle with lake trout predation, the impacts of trout whirling disease that was discovered in 1996, and a series of years of drought-induced reproduction failures. However, long-term monitoring efforts in the fall of 2005 showed a strong year-class of cutthroat juveniles that will start to reach reproductive age in 2006.

As with the bison, the Yellowstone cutthroat enjoys great popularity with the public and as such, the issue generates considerable citizen-agency dialogue.

#### Plans/Actions:

The NPS continues to focus its efforts on improvements and refinements in lake trout removal technologies to improve harvest efficiency, newer more efficient removal tools, especially those that would reduce personnel costs, and potential ways to mitigate the negative effects of whirling disease and drought on cutthroat trout.

http://www.nps.gov/yell/stateofthepark.htm

http://www.nps.gov/yell/publications/index.htm

http://www.nps.gov/yell/publications/pdfs/laketrout2.pdf

http://www.nps.gov/yell/planvisit/todo/fishing

http://www.nps.gov/yell/planvisit/todo/fishing/fishreports.htm

http://www.nps.gov/yell/tours/thismonth/aug2004/fish/index.htm (video clips)

## WATER QUALITY ISSUES:

**Threats in 1995:** Yellowstone National Park hosts almost 5 million human use-days annually. Old, outdated waste treatment plants, lift stations, and underground lines, and older single wall fuel tanks were

causing an unacceptable level of accidental overflows, ruptures, and spills affecting soils, ground and surface waters degrading localized wild lands. In 1995, the failing wastewater treatment plant at Norris Village was closed upon recommendations of the US Public Health Service.

**Outcome:** In the past five years Congress has appropriated \$22 million for water and sewage projects and special monies to replace all single wall fuel tanks. These projects have reduced the backlog in the arena by approximately 30%.

**Status** All of the park's fuel storage tanks have been replaced with new double-walled liquid tanks or replaced with more environmentally friendly propane gas tanks. A new wastewater plant has been constructed at Old Faithful and the new Norris Village system will go on-line early in 2006. The Madison replacement system has been designed and construction will begin in 2006. Older or problematic lift stations, lines, grease traps have been replaced at many locations in the park and this work continues. A backlog of smaller deteriorated wastewater facilities remain, along with aged (pre-1966) distribution/collection systems in Yellowstone, and these will be replaced or updated in the future as funds are available.

All major construction projects in the park go through a formal public involvement process as required under the National Environmental Policy Act.

## Plans/Actions:

http://www.nps.gov/yell/stateofthepark.htm http://www.nps.gov/yell/publications/pdfs/strategicplan.pdf http://www.nps.gov/yell/technical/planning/index.htm

## **ROAD IMPACTS:**

Threats in 1995: Yellowstone's road system was never designed for the volume, size, and weight of vehicles that travel through the park today. The park maintains 478 miles of roads of which 310 are paved and considered primary roads for the public. The remaining 156 miles are paved or gravel secondary roads for service and/or light public use. Road engineers, maintenance staff, and virtually all the visiting public considered the condition of the road system in 1995 deplorable.

**Outcome:** In partnership with the U.S. Federal Highway Administration, Yellowstone has an integrated, methodical and long-term program to improve the fabric of the park's roads and lessen unsafe conditions and unsatisfactory experiences for visitors, and prevention of unwanted

resource degradation. An annually funded program of complete bed and/or surface replacement is expected to continue through 2017.

**Status:** Much has been accomplished since 1995 upgrading the existing road system, but it is a slow process because of the short summer construction season and the reality that reconstruction must be reasonably compatible with summer visitors. As noted above, the current program will be carried out annually through the year 2017, which should correct the structural deficiencies cited in 1995. The park also obtained an additional US\$ 900,000 in 2005 NPS base funding, and additional fee monies starting in 2006 for the cyclical maintenance of roads including the newly rebuilt roads which should assure better and more serviceable roads for many years to come.

All major construction projects in the park go through a formal public involvement process as required under the National Environmental Policy Act.

## Plans/Actions:

http://www.nps.gov/yell/stateofthepark.htm

http://www.nps.gov/yell/publications/businessplan/index.htm

http://www.nps.gov/yell/technical/planning/index.htm

## **VISITOR USE IMPACTS:**

Threats in 1995: Increasing visitor pressures on the natural and cultural resources of the park have been of concern to managers for many years. Recently, the park has hosted about 3 million visitors per year, which represents roughly 5 million visitor-use days annually. The quality of a visitor's Yellowstone experience in terms of sights, sounds and smells has also been extensively debated. Concerns have been raised most strongly regarding winter use in the park, but the crowds of the summer season are also a concern to many people. The numbers of visitors in the park, whether summer or winter, is a contentious subject with the US public who are divided between those who believe the park is overused, or that use is about right, or that the park could handle more visitors.

**Outcome:** Winter use has been very controversial starting with a decision in 2000 to ban snowmobiles and replace them with snowcoaches. Litigation and decisions by two different Federal judges have affected the decision making process. Most recently, the 2000 decision was vacated by a Federal judge. The NPS has just published a final rule for a Temporary Winter Use Plan that substantially reduces the daily maximum number of snowmobiles from historic highs (720 compared to 1,650 per peak day), requires the use of best available technology, which will reduce

emissions (by 90%) and noise, and require all travel groups to be accompanied by guides to reduce wildlife conflicts.

**Status:** The NPS believes the most recent decision addresses winter use-related issues and the park's goals of protecting park resources, protecting employee and visitor health and safety, and improving the quality of the visitor experience. The NPS also believes the final rule for a Temporary Winter Use Plan honors the rulings of both Federal judges and is hopeful that legal challenges will not disrupt the implementation of the interim plan. A provision in the recently signed appropriations law guarantees that the interim plan will be in effect for at least the 2005-06 winter season. The NPS will be developing a new Environmental Impact Statement to address the long term winter use issue and that process is expected to take several years to complete.

Spring, summer, and fall visitation continues to be below the high level measured in 1995, and visitor growth appears to have diminished as an issue in the eyes of many. Separately, the park has focused on development of partnerships to encourage more sustainability in visitor use. Several partnerships encourage use of alternate fuels for transportation and facilities or highlight hybrid automobiles for transportation. Another partnership is working to reduce solid waste, foster recycling, and grow into large-scale composting of organic materials. These partnerships should help the park and adjacent communities foster a region-wide approach serving visitors more efficiently and with less resource consumption in the future.

As all phases of the winter use issue have been part of formal public participation processes, either as an EA or EIS, this issue generates extraordinary levels of citizen involvement.

## Plans/Actions:

http://www.nps.gov/yell/stateofthepark.htm http://www.nps.gov/yell/technical/planning/winteruse/plan/index.htm